The Cooperative Model

Ownership is the third layer of governance

Qaori's governance runs at three layers. The architectural layer makes unsafe actions structurally impossible. The tenant layer puts each organization in control of its own brain. The third layer is the one this paper is about: who owns the platform itself. A brain can be sovereign and well-governed and still sit on infrastructure owned by interests opposed to the people who use it. The cooperative model closes that gap and is why "sovereign by design" is a structural claim rather than a slogan.

This is not a recent addition. I designed the cooperative around an older idea, a resilient economy: a network that values continuity over speculation, owned by its participants, built to outlast the extractive arrangements it replaces. The platform is the instrument. The cooperative is the economy it is meant to serve.

The cooperative economy is not a niche

The social economy is foundational, not marginal. Cooperatives represent roughly three trillion dollars in annual turnover worldwide. Cooperative banks hold close to a fifth of European retail banking, and in some countries, the majority of household deposits. Agricultural cooperatives organize the food supply for millions of farm households. Worker-owned enterprises employ tens of thousands and generate billions in revenue. These organizations have survived financial crises that destroyed their investor-owned competitors, because they operate on a different incentive: member benefit rather than shareholder return.

The scale is larger than the formal figures suggest. There are around three million federated cooperatives worldwide, and an estimated seven million more informal cooperative and mutual structures. Together, they directly touch roughly a billion people. What they do not have is an AI infrastructure built for them, and that gap is, in 2026, among the most significant unmet opportunities in technology.

Qaori.Tech OÜ, Sepapaya 6, 15551 Tallinn, Estonia, Register 17169588.
Qaori UK LIMITED, 66 Paul Street, London EC2A 4NE, UK, Register 16952497.
Qaori AI LLC, 1207 Delaware Ave #1634, Wilmington, DE 19806, United States, Register 61-2310301
Qaori AI Japan, Minato Mirai, Yokohama 220-0012, Japan. (Launch Q3 2026)
Qaori AI Inc., 1207 Delaware Ave #1634, Wilmington, DE 19806, United States, (Registration ongoing)
Qaori AI Tecnologia Brasil Ltda., Rio de Janeiro. (Launch Q4 2026)
Qaori.Coop is a service operated by Qaori Tech OÜ, Estonia, registered as Qaori DAO LLC registered at 108 West 13th Street, Wilmington, New Castle, Delaware, 19801, USA.

© 2026 Qaori.Tech OÜ. All rights reserved. Patents Pending - USPTO Provisional Application No. 64/084,387

Why the standard AI model fails cooperatives

The AI infrastructure market was built for the enterprise buyer: a technology team with a cloud budget, a legal team to negotiate data agreements, and investors who want margin from automation. Applied to a cooperative, that model breaks down in three structural ways.

The first is **sovereignty.** A cooperative's data is not freely available for the cooperative to hand over. It belongs, in important respects, to its members, who did not join in order to have their transaction histories or production data processed by a model in another jurisdiction that the cooperative does not control and cannot audit. The geography of data is not a compliance checkbox here. It is a question of member trust built over decades and easily destroyed.

The second is **governance.** A cooperative is a democratically self-governing organization whose board is accountable to its members. A standard platform introduces a third party whose pricing, capabilities, and data practices sit outside that governance and can be changed unilaterally. The cooperative can comply or leave, but after deep integration, the switching cost is prohibitive. A core operational asset that a vendor can change at will is incompatible with self-governance.

The third is **economics.** The value in a cooperative AI system comes from the collective intelligence of its members: their expertise, their patterns, the wisdom in their decisions. Standard infrastructure captures that value and distributes it to the platform's shareholders. The members receive services in return, but the asymmetry compounds: the platform grows more valuable because of the members, and the members pay more as it does. Per-seat pricing makes this worse, because a federation with hundreds of thousands of members cannot pay per user without excluding most of them from the very benefit the platform promises.

The cooperative principle inverts the relationship. Member knowledge generates value, and that value should return to members.

What Qaori does differently

Qaori does not sell AI infrastructure to cooperatives. It partners with federations to deploy infrastructure that the federation governs, on its terms, within its jurisdiction. The distinction is architectural, not semantic.

Distribution runs **through** federations, not over them. The federation is the channel, the governance authority, and the member relationship. Qaori builds the technology; the federation decides how it is used, who reaches it, and what it may and may not do. Each federation operates within its own regional Sanctuary, so member data remains within the federation's chosen jurisdiction, and cross-federation sharing occurs only

with explicit consent. The knowledge graph that grows from member contributions is the federation's asset, not Qaori's; if a federation ends the relationship, it leaves with its brain, and Qaori retains no proprietary interest in it. And the federation's governance board configures what the platform may do within its Sanctuary as enforced rules rather than advisory settings. The board does not have to trust Qaori to honor its decisions. The architecture makes it technically impossible to violate them within the federation's environment.

This is the cooperative governance layer in practice: not a promise that the platform will act in members' interests, but ownership and control that make acting against them structurally unavailable.

The Qaori Token: the oldest money, made future-proof

A cooperative economy needs its own medium of exchange, and the one Qaori uses is deliberately rooted in the deepest history of money.

The cowrie shell is among the oldest currencies humanity ever used, circulating across Africa and Asia for thousands of years and still in ceremonial use in places today. It had no central issuer. It was decentralized by nature, trusted because it was shared, durable, and not subject to inflation at will. The Qaori Token is conceived as the digital descendant of the cowrie: a shared, member-held unit of account that no single authority can debase, carrying that symbolism on purpose.

In function, it is a closed-loop, cooperative currency built on the principle of mutual credit, which has governed cooperative economies since the Rochdale Pioneers. It is a way of remembering what members owe each other and making that accounting work at scale. Members who contribute knowledge, share expertise, or participate in governance earn tokens; members who use platform services spend them. It is neither a speculative asset nor an investment. It is backed by the real productive activity of the cooperatives using the platform rather than by external demand, and it is built to operate within the utility boundary that regulation, such as the EU's crypto-asset framework, defines: no external price discovery, no secondary-market listing, redemption for platform services, and cooperative benefit. Regulatory alignment is a design principle here, not a workaround.

Two properties make it matter strategically. It is engineered to be hyper-stable because its purpose is to be used, not traded, which lets it serve as a reliable internal currency for free transborder transactions between members of different federations, value moving across borders without the friction, fees, and extractive intermediaries of the conventional system. And because it is member-held, decentralized in spirit, and backed by real cooperative output rather than speculation, it is built to be future-proof. It is designed to outlast the speculative financial system, not to compete inside it. It

will, in the sense that matters, survive Wall Street, because it is not playing the same game.

This paper does not offer financial or investment advice, and nothing here is an offer of a financial instrument. It describes the design intent of an internal cooperative currency. Any participation question is one for a federation and its own advisors to weigh.

The largest adoption lever available

Here is the part that turns a cooperative-economy story into a civilizational one. Only a small fraction of humanity uses AI at all. The barrier is not interesting. It is access, cost, trust, and a market built exclusively for the enterprise buyer.

Qaori's entry model is free. Every member of a partner federation receives a baseline allowance of AI usage at no cost, on the order of a hundred thousand model tokens of capability every month, enough for real daily use, not a teaser. Delivered through the cooperative federations, that free-entry model reaches the roughly one billion people that federations already touch: a credit union member in France, a farmer in a Japanese agricultural cooperative, a worker-owner in a Basque enterprise, a member of an informal mutual in a place the enterprise AI market will never serve.

No other channel reaches that population, because no other model is built to. Per-seat enterprise pricing structurally excludes them. The cooperative federation, with its existing membership, trusted relationships, and mission to serve members rather than extract from them, is the only distribution path that can put governed, sovereign AI into a billion hands. That makes the cooperative model not only an ownership argument but the single largest AI adoption lever available, and it does so on infrastructure that keeps data sovereign, governed by architecture, and returns value to the members who create it.

What changes for the buyer

For a **federation leader**, AI stops being a vendor relationship that erodes your governance and becomes infrastructure you own and control, inside your jurisdiction, with member data that never leaves and member knowledge that remains the federation's asset. Adopting it early also sets the governance standard your sector will be measured against.

For an **impact investor or institutional partner**, this is the first AI infrastructure built for the three-trillion-dollar social economy, a market with scale, durability, and no incumbent serving its actual governance needs, addressable through a free-entry model that compounds reach rather than gating it.

For a **member**, the value your participation creates returns to you and your federation rather than to a distant shareholder, and you gain real AI capability at no cost, on terms your own cooperative governs.

For **the wider goal of adoption**, this is how AI reaches the people the current market leaves out: not by lowering prices, but by changing who owns the infrastructure and how it is distributed.

Conclusion

The current AI infrastructure model assumes the party deploying AI and the party whose knowledge powers it are the same, or that the difference does not matter. For cooperatives, the difference is everything, and answering it correctly produces something larger than a product. It produces a resilient economy: AI owned by its participants, value returned to the members who create it, a currency descended from the oldest money and built to outlast the newest, and a free-entry path that can bring AI to a billion people the market has ignored. Sovereign by design, owned rather than extracted, and built to last. That is the cooperative model, and it is the foundation that the rest of Qaori stands on.